ORIGINAL



E-0933A-15-0100

Teresa Tenbrink

Sent:

To: Subject: Cathy Della Penta <c.della@cox.net> Wednesday, April 01, 2015 4:01 PM

BitterSmith-Web; Stump-Web; RBurns-Web; forsee-web@azcc.gov; Little-Web

re: TEP's request for additional \$22 monthly fee

Dear Commissioners Tom Forsee, Doug Little, Bob Burns, Bob Stump, and Susan Bitter-Smith:

I am writing to you concerning TEP's [Tucson Electric Power] new request to add a monthly fee of \$22 to new roof top solar residences after June of 2015. It is difficult to understand the logic behind this request, since roof top solar provides extra power to the grid, especially during peak hours of usage-i.e.- during the hottest part of the day. Roof top solar - all 7,900 current customers- and those in the future, supply the company enough electricity to avoid building another costly power plant. Thus, we are saving the company money. Roof top solar is part of the 21st century power grid, yet the utility is still using 20th century fossil fuel from out-of-state to power its plant.

Why punish those people who are more forward thinking? How can Arizona, blessed with so much sunshine, not want to be part of cutting edge alternative energy generation? Why does Arizona take 2 steps forward and another back when it comes to energy efficiency?

It makes no sense to be penalizing people for contributing to energy efficiency. On the contrary, TEP and other utilities, ought to be encouraging and incentivizing those who choose to do roof top solar.

Thank you for your time and consideration in this matter.

ncerely,

Cathy R. Della Penta, with 4 kw of panels on my roof 10601 E Marchetti Loop Tucson, Az 85747

Arizona Corporation Commission DOCKETED

APR 1 3 2015

DOCKETED BY R

TOORP COMMIST

2015 APR 13 ₱ 4: 24

R

Teresa Tenbrink

om:

Roy Schwartz <rschwartz7@cox.net>

Sent:

Friday, March 27, 2015 3:54 PM

To:

BitterSmith-Web

Subject:

re: TUCSON ELECTRIC POWER COMPANY partial waiver of the Commission's Net

Metering E-01933A-15-0100

Dear Ms Bitter-Smith,

Tucson Electric Power has applied for a waiver of Net Metering rules A.A.C. RI4-2-2307, Docket No. E-01933A-15-0100.

In order to better understand the impact to customers' billing it would be useful for TEP to submit several case scenarios showing impacts on monthly customer bills, both summer and winter, where power is generated both in excess of consumption and short of consumption under the current net metering rate structure and the proposed rate structure.

Also helpful would be describing the details of how any excess generation would be credited.

For already installed PV systems that are grandfathered, those systems represented a substantial investment on the part of PV system purchasers. Those systems are long term investments that were also considered accretive to home value based on system life (20+ years) and what value they added to properties, even if roperties were subsequently sold. I am hopeful that the grandfathering follows the PV system, not just the TEP customer, meaning a property sold would continue to benefit from the grandfathered net metering arrangement.

I appreciate your thorough consideration of this matter.

Sincerely, Roy Schwartz 11500 E Calle del Valle Tucson AZ 85749 (520) 334-7292